

REVIEW
OF THE TEXT SUBMITTED TO
GDAŃSKIE STUDIA PRAWNICZE
[ang. GDAŃSK LEGAL STUDIES]
(starting from no. 1/2020)

Information for the Reviewer: please complete this review form in Microsoft Word and send it back by e-mail to the address of the Scientific Secretary of “Gdańsk Legal Studies” (arkadiusz.wowerka@prawo.ug.edu.pl).

I. INFORMATION ABOUT THE REVIEWER AND REVIEW

Name and surname of the Reviewer:

Academic title or degree of the Reviewer:

Place of employment of the Reviewer:

Date of completion of the review form:

II. BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT THE TEXT

Author: (name and surname of the author/s are given by the member of editorial board of “Gdańsk Legal Studies” after receiving the review from the Reviewer)

.....

.....

Title of the reviewed text:

.....

.....

.....

Type of the text:

	Type of the text	Assessment (please mark the correct box with an „X”)
1.	study, article	
2.	commentary [pol. glosa]	
3.	case law review [pol. przegląd orzecznictwa]	
4.	review	
5.	report	
6.	other (what kind of?)	

Volume of the text: characters (including spaces).

III. SUBSTANTIVE AND FORMAL ASSESSMENT OF THE TEXT

	Assessment criteria	Assessment (please mark the correct box with an „X”)		
		YES	PARTIALLY	NOT
1.	Does the text fit in the journal's profile?			
2.	Is the title of the text formulated correctly?			
3.	Does the contents of the text correspond to the title?			
4.	Does the text present an original research problem?			
5.	Are the problems analyzed in the text up to date?			
6.	Are the thesis and research questions presented in the text completely justified/answered?			
7.	Does the structure and layout of the text meet the requirements for a scientific text?			
8.	Does the Author present in the text own views and opinions?			
9.	Are the research findings scientifically interesting?			
10.	Does the Author present arguments in the text in a clear and logical way?			
11.	Does the summary correctly reflect the content of the text, as well as its main theses and conclusions?			
12.	Is the language used in the text correct?			
13.	Is the selection of sources (literature and jurisprudence) appropriate and complete?			

IV. FINAL ASSESSMENT AND RECOMENDATION OF THE REVIEWER

Please mark the chosen conclusion with an „X”.

	Type of recomendation	Final assessment
1.	Text is accepted for publication with no need for corrections	
2.	Text is accepted for publication after making some minor corrections, without the need for a re-review	
3.	Text is accepted for publication after making significant corrections and a re-review	
4.	Text is not suitable for publication	

V. JUSTIFICATION OF THE FINAL ASSESSMENT

Please justify the final assessment and recommendation with particular emphasis on the proposed corrections to the text.

Overall assessment of the text:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Suggestions for the Author in case of comments and corrections required:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....